

RECRUITING & INTERVIEW PREPARATION

Venture Capital Recruiting & Interview Playbook

Map the market. Test the evidence. Explain the bet.

Fund fit & applications

Sourcing & sector theses

Customer & founder diligence

Cap tables & fund economics

Original investment cases

Interview simulations & solutions

Contents

01. Explain the bet before defending the price
02. Read the fund before choosing the role
03. Build an application someone can inspect
04. Build a thesis that can lose an argument
05. Source with a method, not a long list
06. ParcelSignal: separate activity from traction
07. Customer and founder diligence
08. Startup economics: find the hidden service cost
09. Seed ownership and an option-pool top-up
10. Follow-on capital is a new decision
11. Financing terms: calculate the waterfall you are given
12. Fund math changes the meaning of a win
13. Reserve planning and opportunity cost
14. Write an investment memo with an explicit next step
15. Technical questions with explained answers
16. First-round simulation: show your research method
17. Final-round simulation: recommend a next step
18. Preparation plan and source notes

Explain the bet before defending the price

An early-stage investment is a decision under incomplete evidence. A venture interview answer should make that uncertainty visible: what you believe, what supports it, what could invalidate it, and how the ownership and fund economics affect the decision. Enthusiasm is useful; unsupported certainty is not.

This playbook is for students and early-career candidates targeting venture investment analyst or associate roles. It assumes basic percentages and financial statements. It covers fund fit, recruiting evidence, sourcing, startup diligence, ownership, financing and fund math. It does not provide introductions, employer questions, live coaching, legal advice or an investment recommendation. Later-stage growth, buyout modeling and specialist scientific diligence need additional preparation.

All companies, funds, candidate stories, conversations, cap tables and investment exercises are fictional. Dollar amounts are USD. Cases specify simplifications; actual contracts and cash flows govern real investments. The practice rounds and rubrics are original study tools, not hiring standards or predictions.

The decision chain

Use five linked statements: the customer problem, the evidence of a useful solution, the reason the company may build a durable business, the investment economics and the next test. Do not jump from a large market to a valuation without the middle steps.

For example: “Dispatch teams lose time coordinating exceptions. A small pilot suggests this product helps one workflow. I need to test repeat use, willingness to pay and deployment cost before extrapolating. At the proposed ownership, the exit outcome required to matter to this fund is substantial. I would continue diligence on those questions before recommending a check.”

This is a stronger starting point than “logistics is a huge market.” It allows another person to disagree with a specific assumption.

Read the fund before choosing the role

A seed fund, a multi-stage platform and a corporate venture team can evaluate the same company differently. Stage, check size, ownership objectives, geography, sector knowledge, follow-on capacity and strategic constraints affect fit. A good company can be unsuitable for a particular fund.

Build a fund card using public sources: stated strategy, team, announced investments, role description, application requirements and dates checked. Mark inferences separately. A past investment does not prove that the current fund has the same mandate. Fundraising announcements do not tell you exactly

how much uncommitted capital remains.

Bessemer's analyst-program description includes sourcing, research and working with founders and its investing team. It is an employer-specific example, not a universal role or recruiting calendar. Its public page can contain application labels alongside dated deadlines; always follow the current application portal and eligibility details rather than assuming an old “open” label is current. [S1]

Map the actual responsibilities

ROLE EMPHASIS	EVIDENCE YOU CAN PREPARE	WHAT IT DOES NOT PROVE
Sourcing	A defined market map and prioritized company	Proprietary access to founders
Diligence	A customer question tree and decision memo	That a real investment was approved
Technical analysis	A reproducible product or scientific assessment	Commercial demand by itself
Portfolio support	A truthful operating example	Ownership of the entire company's result

Read the job description before choosing an artifact. Investor relations, fund finance and portfolio operating jobs are valuable but have different responsibilities from an investment analyst role. Do not prepare a stock pitch for every job with “venture” in the title.

03

Build an application someone can inspect

Show an example of judgment and the work behind it. For a student, this might be a public-source sector map. For an operator, it might be a product adoption problem with a clearly bounded personal contribution. For a banker or consultant, it might be a decision you can discuss without disclosing confidential information.

Fictional resume example. Weak: “Researched disruptive startups with strong investment potential.” More useful, if true: “Mapped dispatch-software workflows for small logistics operators using public product documentation; compared implementation constraints and wrote a two-page diligence memo distinguishing pilot activity from paid usage.” The second version describes inspectable work and its limits.

Do not imply that independent research was commissioned by a fund. Do not call unpaid interviews customers or a spreadsheet an investment track record. If you have no authorized customer access, say so and present the questions you would test.

A concise why-venture answer

Connect your experience to the work: “I enjoyed investigating why a product was used in one team but abandoned in another. That pushed me toward questions about adoption, incentives and business models. I built a small research project to test that interest. This role's sourcing and diligence responsibilities are the parts I want to develop.” Only use the elements that are true for you.

For “why this fund,” identify a specific mandate, a relevant public investment and a question that shows you have considered the strategy. Avoid copying a firm's marketing language into a compliment. Explain how your existing work is relevant without claiming you already perform the job perfectly.

Useful outreach

Original practice wording: “I am applying for your analyst role and have been studying software for regional logistics teams. Your published investment in an operations platform led me to compare deployment constraints across vendors. I can share a short public-source map. I would appreciate your perspective on how analysts turn sourcing research into a first diligence discussion.”

Keep messages truthful and brief. Respect confidentiality, consent and requests not to follow up. A useful artifact creates a reason for a conversation; it does not entitle you to a reply. No outreach is sent by this guide.

04

Build a thesis that can lose an argument

A sector thesis should specify the buyer, problem, current alternative, adoption constraint, timing hypothesis and disconfirming evidence. “AI will transform logistics” is too broad to guide company selection.

Consider this fictional thesis: regional logistics operators need better exception handling when drivers, warehouses and customer-service teams use different systems. A focused assistant may reduce coordination time, but integration effort, data quality and error responsibility could prevent adoption. The investment question is whether a company can solve a narrow workflow repeatedly and expand economically.

The evidence ladder

Separate statements into observation, interpretation and test. Observation: four pilot users returned in week two. Interpretation: the workflow may have recurring value. Test: measure use after the novelty period, ask what job it replaces and determine whether a budget owner will pay. Four returning users do not establish a scalable market.

THESIS ELEMENT	ORIGINAL QUESTION	DISCONFIRMING SIGNAL
Pain	What happens when an exception is unresolved?	The problem is rare or inexpensive
Buyer	Who controls a relevant budget?	Users like it but no buyer owns the decision
Deployment	What must change before first use?	Integration cost exceeds plausible value
Durability	Why would users continue or expand?	Usage stops after a demonstration
Economics	What costs scale with each customer?	Service labor consumes the contract value

Record your source, date and uncertainty for each claim. Do not present a demo as proof of production reliability. A technically impressive model can still produce a weak business if usage is expensive or the purchasing process is impractical.

05

Source with a method, not a long list

Create explicit inclusion rules before collecting company names. For the fictional logistics thesis: target regional operators, focus on exception workflows rather than route optimization, and identify products that integrate with existing systems. This makes the search reproducible and exposes gaps.

Start with public product pages, documentation, industry events and permitted professional conversations. Keep an evidence log. Classify incumbents, new specialists, internal tools and manual alternatives. A spreadsheet with fifty unverified names is less useful than a short map with clear boundaries and unanswered questions.